UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,422	08/29/2006	Guoshun Deng	20937-65051 NATL	4477
	7590 06/10/200 NING MARTIN LLP	EXAMINER		
	REE ROAD, NE	WOO, KUO-KONG		
1600 ATLANTA FINANCIAL CENTER ATLANTA, GA 30326			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/598,	422	DENG ET AL.			
		Examine	er	Art Unit			
		KUO W	00	2617			
<i> The</i> Period for Rej	MAILING DATE of this communically	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress		
A SHORTE WHICHEV - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to rep Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN ER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN If time may be available under the provision MONTHS from the mailing date of this comfor reply is specified above, the maximum is ply within the set or extended period for replaceived by the Office later than three months in term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATIOn event, however, may a reply be to will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the polication to become ABANDONICATION CONTRACTION C	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a)⊠ This 3)⊡ Since	oonsive to communication(s) fil action is FINAL . This application is in condition In accordance with the pract	2b)∏ This action is n for allowance excep	ot for formal matters, pr		e merits is		
Disposition of	Claims						
4a) C 5)	n(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the off the above claim(s) is/are allowed. n(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. n(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected to. n(s) is/are objected to. n(s) are subject to restrict the subject to restrict the subject to by the subject to be subjected to be	are withdrawn from c					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice of Dr 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) /Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:)ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/598,422 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

Response to Arguments

This action is response to applicant an amendment, files on April 23,
 2009.

- 2. Claims 1-8 and 10 have been amended and claims 11-16 have been newly added. Claims 1-16 are pending in this office action.
- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 and newly added claims 11-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statement (IDS) filed on 05/06/2009 has been considered.

Specification

5. Paragraph [17], a high speed transmission unit "1168" shall be 118. Appropriate correction is required

Drawings

6. Figure 2, 116 shall extend point line to (low power dissipation radio unit) box and not point to as 104 radio unit. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims

7. Claims 3 and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 3 and 10, wireless "commutation" protocol.... is misspelling and appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/598,422 Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nan (CN 2174813Y).in view of Vidal (US-PGPUB 2005/0195099 A1).

Regarding claim 1, Nan discloses "a radio unit for operating at least two wireless communication modes" (Abstract, The remote-controlled device can be remotely controlled by an infrared signal or a radio frequency signal), wherein two type of signals can be sent from controller unit;

"A control unit for selecting one of the at least two wireless communication modes" Nan discloses same as reason as above;

However, Nan does not explicitly teach "a memory unit "

In An analogous art, Vidal discloses "<u>a memory unit</u> (¶ 40, control symbols can reside in nonvolatile **memory on the remote control** 102), one wireless mode inputted by a user (Abstract, universal remote control, which includes a display screen and **a user input mechanism**) and transmits the control commands to the device for controlling operations of the device, <u>and/or transmits data stored in the memory unit</u> (¶16,

Art Unit: 2617

appliance-control mechanisms is stored in the remote control_to choose for display to the user by the appliance) and (¶46, Memory 406 includes program instructions for processor 404 and persistent storage for symbols and the like) to the device in the selected wireless communication mode" (¶34, FIG. 1 illustrates remote control 102,... a wireless communication channel such as an infrared channel or a radio frequency (RF) channel).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Nan teaching in remote control appliances invention in view of Vidal provides a processing unit that is configured to display information on the display screen and to accept selection data from the user input mechanism (see Abstract).

Rationales for arriving at a conclusion of obviousness suggested by the Supreme Court's decision in KSR include: Combine prior art elements according to known method to yield predictable result.

Regarding claim 2, Vidal discloses "radio unit comprised a low dissipation radio unit (¶35, Remote control 102, Wireless communication channel can be an infrared channel or a radio frequency channel such as a Bluetooth) and a high speed transmission unit" (¶33, a wireless communication channel such as an infrared channel or a radio frequency (RF) channel), wherein Bluetooth is consider low dissipation radio unit and other RF transmission is relatively higher speed than an infrared channel transmission.

Regarding claims 3-4, has limitations similar to those treated in the above claim 2 rejection(s), and are met by the references as discussed above.

Regarding claim 5, Vidal discloses "low power dissipation is preset as a default mode" (¶47, this wireless communication channel can include an infrared communication link or a RF link such as a Bluetooth.)

Regarding claims 6-7, has limitations similar to those treated in the above claim 1 rejection(s), and are met by the references as discussed above.

Regarding claim 8, Nan discloses" remote control unit comprises a low power dissipation radio unit and high speed transmission unit (Abstract, remotely controlled by an infrared signal or a radio frequency signal), wherein infrared signal is low power dissipation radio unit and GSM or radio frequency signal is high speed transmission unit.

Regarding claim 11, (New) Vidal discloses "is configured to access external memory (¶ 56, remote control 102 (step 608). Note that icons presented on remote control 102 can be stored in **memory 406 or can be received by communication module 408 from appliance** 402) via the interface unit" wherein information card is external memory unit working with remote control unit.

Regarding claims 12-16, (New) have limitations similar to those treated in the above rejection(s), and are met by the references as discussed above.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KUO WOO whose telephone number is (571)270-7266. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on 571-272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/KUO WOO/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Lester Kincaid/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617